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• Clean (low-emission) energy investment shares the same challenges as all new technologies, with the added, and major challenge, of needing policy drivers.

• Successes have generally been narrow and volatile, so there are still lessons to be learned about what works, what doesn’t and unintended consequences

• Effective policy needs to build on clear objectives and understand the arguments of vested interests.
Low-emission energy has value in a broader context
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A dramatic transformation is implied
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Easy to model, hard to deliver
Cost estimates: illuminating and unhelpful

They are all too expensive, unless the market values “clean”

Source: Grattan Institute from industry estimates
Government intervention - why?

• The challenge is to decarbonise Australia’s electricity sector within forty years, whilst maintaining security of supply and affordability.

• Despite current projections, none of the assessed technologies can produce power at a scale and at costs similar to today’s electricity.

• Pricing emissions is the best start, but will not be enough, due to:
  
  • Government regulatory barriers, including transmission and subsidies for existing technologies.
  
  • High costs and low returns:
    
    • Finance, minimum scale, resource data and regulation.
    
    • No premium, carbon price discounting.
    
    • Systemic under-pricing of carbon.
Government intervention – how?

• Promote an efficient market
  • Provide a credible, long-term pricing signal maximise predictability
  • Map resources
  • Reduce existing subsidies
  • Reform network regulation

• Support low emission technologies
  • Research and development – national interest and comparative advantage
  • Demonstration and early deployment – support a variety of options
  • Re-cast the policy framework to constitute a necessary and sufficient set of conditions for investment
Specific approaches have specific characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital support</td>
<td>Can address spillover risk</td>
<td>Does not lead to scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Removes policy risk</td>
<td>History shows poor deliverability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm revenue support</td>
<td>Provides strong investor certainty</td>
<td>Price setting is usually a fraught process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Can drive “winner’s curse”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market based support</td>
<td>Delivers a target efficiently</td>
<td>Delivers on-the-shelf technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Creates confusion within an ETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Becomes an industry subsidy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unintended consequences and political cycles are constant features
So, what?

• There is great uncertainty regarding policy drivers for low emission demand and technology developments for supply of clean energy technologies.

• Clarity of objective is the first step. The rest of the policy framework should be based on addressing market failures and barriers once an emissions constraint has been introduced, however that is structured.

• Other objectives for clean energy can sound appealing but will ultimately lead to the fatal flaws.

• The requirements of policy are credibility, flexibility and predictability. Certainty is an illusion

• Governments need to address early-mover technology and carbon market risks.