Attitudes to the best approach to budget repair are influenced by beliefs about the value of small government, and the priority of economic growth.

Many believe that Australia has relatively large government, and that the key to budget repair is smaller government that will lead to faster economic growth and a stabilised budget.

While there are many reasons to prefer smaller government, particularly promoting the scope for individuals to make choices that shape their own lives, smaller government is unlikely to solve Australia’s budgetary problems. Across the OECD, there is no obvious relationship between size of government and size of government deficits.

In any case, Australia has relatively small government. Although government expenditure is currently towards the upper limit of historic expenditure, Australian government expenditure is low relative to the OECD. This is so, even if compulsory superannuation contributions are taken into account. Australian governments spend less in most categories. In particular, welfare spending is relatively low due to Australia’s highly targeted welfare system.

Australian tax rates are also relatively low. Income taxes are not particularly high if one takes into account social security contributions, which have a similar incidence to income taxes.

There are likely to be continued pressures to increase the size of government. Around the world, as economies grow, governments are typically spending an increasing proportion of GDP on healthcare. In Australia, increased spending on health over the last 20 years has coincided with materially improved health outcomes.

Instead, budget repair will depend on the hard grind of both expenditure reductions and revenue increases. This will include facing up to the difficult politics of unsustainable inter-generational spending and revenue transfers. History suggests that budget repair is more likely to succeed when governments both reduce expenditure and increase revenue; otherwise the politics of budget repair is very difficult to manage. And the sheer scale of the federal deficit means that it is very unlikely that the gap can be bridged purely through even the bravest of expenditure reductions. Indeed the Commonwealth’s plan for many years has been to repair its budget primarily through revenue increases delivered through fiscal drag.
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- Repair through spending reductions alone is unlikely given the size of the deficit
- Intergenerational issues are difficult
- Repair tends to be easier politically with simultaneous spending reductions and revenue increases
## What is our priority?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget balancing</th>
<th>Small government</th>
<th>Economic growth (short run)</th>
<th>More services that government provides (health)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↑ Taxes</td>
<td>↓ Taxes</td>
<td>↓ Taxes</td>
<td>↑ Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓ Expenditure</td>
<td>↓ Expenditure</td>
<td>↑ Expenditure</td>
<td>↑ Expenditure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Underlying assumptions**

Structural deficit won’t be solved by economic growth.

Politics requires budget repair through both revenue and expenses.

Companies reluctant to invest while budgets don’t balance.

If government reduces taxes, it will be forced to cut expenditure.

Smaller government increases economic growth and reduces budget deficit.

Increasing economic growth will solve the budget issue.

Increasing health spend as income increases.

Government better at delivering many health services.

**Source:** Chris Richardson for the underlying insight
There is no relationship between size of government and fiscal balance

Government expend, % of GDP, 2014

Budget deficit, % of GDP, 2014

Note: Data for expenditure for Iceland, Japan, Israel, New Zealand, US, Australia and Switzerland is for 2013
Source: Grattan analysis of OECD
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Commonwealth expenditure is high relative to history; revenue is typical for the last 30 years

Commonwealth government expenditure and revenue, % of GDP

Financial year ended

Note: figures for 2014-15 and 2015-16 are expected figures.
Source: Budget Papers 2014-15 and 2015-16
But Australian government expenditure is relatively small, even including super

General government expenditure, OECD countries, % of GDP, 2014

Note: Data for countries marked with an asterisk are for 2013; Turkey data is for 2012
Source: OECD http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933248323.
Australian governments spend relatively less on welfare, general government and debt

**Government expenditure, OECD countries, % of GDP, 2013**

Notes: ‘Other’ category includes ‘Public order and safety’; ‘Environmental protection’; ‘Housing and community amenities’; and ‘Recreation, culture and religion’. ‘Welfare’ category is referred to in the source data as ‘Social protection’.

Source: OECD [http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933248323](http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933248323); Australian super contributions from APRA.
Tax and transfer are quite progressive in Australia, but gross income is unequal

Gini coefficient (higher more unequal), OECD countries, 2012

Note: Countries with no Gini estimate for gross income or disposable income in 2012 are excluded from the comparison
This version corrects an error for Australia in the original version published in December 2015
Australia has a particularly targeted welfare system

Public payments to households as a proportion of population disposable income, mid-2000s

Transfers to poorest 20%  Transfers to remaining 80%

Denmark  Sweden  Belgium  Norway  Australia  Czech R.  OECD-23  Neth.  Ireland  France  Austria  Slovak R.  Germany  Switz.  Finland  UK  NZ  Luxem.  Italy  Canada  Poland  Japan  US  Korea

Note: incomes are equivalised
Source: Grattan analysis of Whiteford (2010)
Australia’s welfare system is well targeted

Average welfare payments per week by household gross income quintile, $2013-14

Notes: Pension includes Age and Veteran’s Affairs Pension. Family payments include Family Tax Benefits and Parenting Payments.
Source: ABS (2015); Grattan analysis.
Australian government revenues are about average if you include super

General government revenue, OECD countries, % of GDP, 2014

Data for countries marked with an asterisk are for 2013; Turkey data are for 2012
Source: OECD http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933248215.
Australia is uncommonly reliant on income tax, but much lower social security taxes.
Australian labour taxes are relatively low if social security contributions are included

Taxes on labour costs, OECD countries, % of gross wages, 2014

Source: OECD, Taxing Wages 2015
Australia’s top marginal tax rate is unremarkable, although the threshold is relatively low.

Source: Treasury, Re:think; Grattan analysis
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Government has tended to grow larger across the OECD

Government revenue as % of GDP over time

OECD average

Australia

Source: OECD
As all OECD countries got richer, they spent more on health (except Iceland)

Health spending and GDP, 2000 to 2012

Source: OECD
As health spending increased, life expectancy improved

Life expectancy at 65 years of age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected life quality for 65-year-old years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free of disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-severe disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe or profound core activity limitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Grattan Institute analysis of ABS (2008) cat no 3105.0.65.001 Table 7.6

Source: AIHW (2012), Figure 13
As health spending increased, health improved

**Amenable mortality**
Deaths per 100,000 population

**Self-reported health status**
Percentage of population in lowest two categories ("fair" or "poor")

Source: AIHW

Source: ABS
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Filling a budget hole of $40b without revenue increases is implausible

Budgetary impact of budget choices worth at least $2b/yr
2013 $b per year

- Age Pension assets test
- Negative gearing
- Pharmaceutical spend
- Pension and super access
- CGT discount
- Higher ed subsidies
- Defence spending
- Cost effective medicine
- Super contr concessions
- Super earn concessions
- Fuel tax indexation
- Transport infra costs
- Industry support
- School class sizes
- GST broaden
- Health rebate
- Mining royalty
- CGT owner occ
- Payroll threshold
- Fuel tax credit
- Bracket creep

Source: Grattan Institute, Balancing Budgets
Current net transfers to older households are unsustainable

Average net benefits per household (government payments, less tax)

2010$
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- -$15,000


Increase of ~$10k/household ≈ $20b/yr budget cost

Notes: Net benefits are social assistance benefits in cash plus support in kind (such as health and education), minus income and sales taxes.
Source: Grattan Institute, *The Wealth of Generations*, p.22
Budget repair mostly relies on organic increases in income taxes

Budget balance
2018-19 budget compared to 2014-15 budget, nominal $ billion

Notes: Budget balance is the underlying cash balance from the 2015-16 Budget. Estimates for spending growth, revenue growth and personal income tax are based on forecast growth in these categories above nominal GDP. Personal income tax includes income and other withholding taxes, superannuation fund taxes and fringe benefits. Initial growth in deficit at nominal GDP shows impact on budget balance if spending and revenue had continued to grow at nominal GDP. Other is a balancing item and includes effects of parameters changes.

Source: Commonwealth Budget Papers 2015-16; Grattan Institute, Fiscal challenges for Australia